


# Nativity as a Determinant of Health Disparities Among Children

Sasha A. McGee<sup>1</sup> · Luz Claudio<sup>2</sup> 

© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2017

**Abstract** Nativity is not often considered in the study of health disparities. We conducted a cross-sectional, parent-reported survey of demographics, socioeconomic characteristics, healthcare access, and health conditions in New York City schoolchildren ( $n = 9029$ ). US-born children with US-born parents (US/US) had higher socioeconomic status, better access to healthcare, and reported higher rates of disease diagnoses compared to US-born children with immigrant parents and to immigrant children. Dental cavities were the only condition in which US/US children reported lower prevalence. US/US children had the best healthcare access, most favorable parent-reported health status and highest rate of satisfaction with healthcare. The magnitude of racial/ethnic disparities varied based on nativity of the children being compared. Factors such as the healthy immigrant effect and differential diagnosis rates may explain the results. In conclusion, nativity influences disease burdens and should be considered in health disparities studies.

**Keywords** Families · Healthcare access · Health outcomes · Immigrants · Minorities

## Introduction

Nativity is frequently overlooked in studies on health disparities [1–5]. Additionally, there are few studies on disparities in children [6]. Nativity may be an important determinant of disparities in healthcare access and health conditions among children [1–3, 7–12]. The influence of nativity on health disparities is a timely issue given the changing demographics of the United States (US) [13]. Importantly, 23% of children in the US live with at least one parent who is an immigrant [14].

US-born children or children with non-immigrant parents are more likely to have health insurance coverage [9, 15–17] and a usual source of care [8, 9, 11, 15, 17] compared to children who are immigrants or whose parents are immigrants. Nativity of children has been evaluated in studies on asthma [2, 3, 11, 17, 18], allergies [11, 18, 19], and obesity [20–22]. In general, US-born children are more likely than immigrant children to report asthma [2, 11, 19], food sensitization [19], sensitization to cat/dog allergens [11, 19], seasonal allergies [2, 19], wheezing [2, 11], and obesity [20, 23]. Data on the association of nativity with less prevalent health conditions are limited. Children with US-born parents had prevalences of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), developmental delay, and learning disabilities 1.6–2.9 times that of children with immigrant parents [15]. The increasing prevalence of ADHD [24, 25], autism [24–26], and developmental delay [24] point to the importance of studying these conditions.

Studies of the relationship between nativity and health conditions frequently focus only on Hispanic/Latino populations [11, 18, 22, 27–30]. Immigrants from diverse racial/ethnic groups may be underrepresented even in studies where they are not excluded because data are obtained from surveys administered only in English and Spanish [7, 8, 31]

---

✉ Luz Claudio  
luz.claudio@mssm.edu

<sup>1</sup> District of Columbia Department of Health, Center for Policy, Planning and Evaluation, 899 North Capitol Street NE, Washington, DC 20002, USA

<sup>2</sup> Department of Preventive Medicine, Division of International Health, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, One Gustave L. Levy Place, Box 1057, New York, NY 10029, USA

and immigrants are geographically concentrated within certain areas of the US [32]. Data from previous studies suggest both child and parental nativity should be considered when assessing health measures among children [19, 20, 27, 30, 33], yet many consider only that of the child [1, 2, 11, 23, 34–36] or parent [3, 15, 17, 37]. This study examines the role of nativity on parent-reported health status in a racially and ethnically diverse population.

## Methods

### Participants

A cross-sectional survey was conducted in New York City public elementary schools during the 2009–2010 and 2010–2011 school years. Because the purpose of the study was to assess the role of nativity in health disparities among populations that are diverse in race, nativity and socioeconomic status, a multistage stratified random sampling was used to yield a study population representative of Black, White, and Hispanic children from households of varying income levels from all five boroughs. To accomplish this, population demographic data for children ages 5–14 obtained from the US Census were used to identify residential ZIP Codes where > 50% of the population was Black (n = 33), White (n = 39), or Hispanic (n = 35). ZIP Codes for each racial/ethnic group were categorized as high (> \$50,000), medium (\$35,000–\$50,000), and low (< \$35,000) using median income data. None of the ZIP Codes with a large Hispanic population were classified as high income. New York City Department of Education (NYCDOE) enrollment and population demographic data were used to randomly select 3 ZIP Codes from each stratum. The resulting 24 schools also included diverse Asian and White populations.

### Data Collection

An interactive presentation was shown in each school. Students were given a questionnaire to be completed by their parent/guardian [38]. Children and teachers received nominal incentives (school supplies) to encourage participation. Questionnaires were provided in English, Spanish, Korean, Chinese, and Polish in order to include populations of diverse nativity in the sample. Hispanic/Latino populations were categorized as such, regardless of their race. The project was approved by the Mount Sinai Institutional Review Board, the Mount Sinai Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act Privacy Office, and by the Proposal Review Committee of the NYCDOE Division of Assessment and Accountability.

### Study Variables

Demographic variables included children's gender, birth year, and race/ethnicity, primary household language, parent's educational level, household income, whether the parent and child were US-born, and the length of time lived in the US. Parents selected race/ethnicity from one of the following categories: White/Caucasian ("White"), Black/African-American/Afro-Caribbean ("Black"), Asian, American Indian or Alaskan Native, Pacific Islander or Native Hawaiian, Hispanic/Latino, or Other. We present comparisons among White, Black, Asian, and Hispanic/Latino children. We defined three nativity categories: US-born children with US-born parents ("US/US" children), US-born children with immigrant parents ("US/IM" children), and immigrant children ("IM" children).

Healthcare access was assessed by questions regarding language barriers, being able to access healthcare for the child when needed, and access to preventive care. Results for parent satisfaction with healthcare quality are presented only for children who received care in the previous 12 months (92.9% of children). The parent's perception of the child's health status was assessed by the following question: "In general, how would you describe this child's health?" Response choices included excellent, very good, fair, and poor. The prevalence of most health conditions was assessed by the following question: "Have you ever been told by a doctor or other healthcare professional that this child has any of the following conditions?" For infectious conditions, diagnoses during the past 12 months were assessed. Asthma was assessed based on two questions: "Has this child ever been told by a doctor or nurse that he/she has asthma?" and "In the past 12 months, has this child had wheezing in the chest?" Children were classified as having "ever asthma" if the first question was answered "yes", and as having "current asthma" if both questions were answered "yes".

### Statistical Analysis

For each school, data were weighted to represent the number of children attending public elementary schools within each selected ZIP Code, adjusting for absenteeism rates. Survey procedures were used to account for sampling by income and race/ethnicity and clustering among schools. Frequencies presented in tables represent the proportion of the total population of schoolchildren ("overall population"). Frequencies were compared using Rao-Scott  $\chi^2$  tests. For the analyses of health conditions as a function of nativity and race/ethnicity, only health conditions with a prevalence of > 5% were included: ever asthma, current asthma, allergies, food allergies, seasonal allergies, skin allergies, cavities, developmental delay, and strep throat. The modified Rao-Scott  $\chi^2$  test was used to test for associations between groups.

Multiple logistic regression models were developed to estimate odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence interval (95% CIs) for the association between nativity and each health condition. Either IM children or White children were used as the reference groups in the analyses. Models were developed only for conditions with a prevalence of > 5%. The complex sampling design was accounted for in the analyses. Based on the literature [1, 2, 7, 9, 19, 39–42], the following explanatory variables were included in the adjusted models: age, race/ethnicity, household income, parental education, ability to communicate with the child’s healthcare provider in one’s own language, health or dental insurance, and whether the child had a regular doctor/nurse. Associations with asthma were additionally adjusted for smoking in the home [43, 44].

We tested for statistical interaction between nativity and race/ethnicity, income, and health insurance, respectively, using likelihood ratio tests. We focused on the interaction between nativity and race/ethnicity since the interaction term was statistically significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) for every health condition. Analyses were performed using SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

**Results**

**Population Characteristics**

The response rate for the study adjusted for absenteeism was 75.6%. The majority of children and nearly half

**Table 1** Demographic and socioeconomic characteristics of New York City school children as a function of nativity

	All (N=9029)		Nativity category						P value
	%	SE	IM (N=1017)		US/IM (N=3788)		US/US (N=4103)		
	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE	
All	–	–	11.0	0.9	40.4	1.9	47.0	2.6	
Sex									0.45
Male	48.5	0.4	48.8	2.0	49.6	0.8	47.6	1.0	
Female	50.7	0.4	50.5	1.8	49.5	0.8	52.0	1.1	
Age (mean ± standard deviation: 8.6 ± 0.1 years)									<0.01
4–9	63.3	1.1	51.3	2.6	65.5	0.8	64.5	1.4	
10–15	34.3	1.1	46.3	2.5	32.6	0.8	33.2	1.2	
Race/ethnicity									<0.01
White	17.5	2.5	18.3	2.7	12.3	2.0	22.2	4.0	
Black	32.6	5.4	23.1	6.3	30.1	6.4	37.1	4.9	
Asian	7.4	1.6	15.4	2.8	12.4	3.0	1.4	0.3	
Hispanic/Latino	32.4	3.8	37.9	4.1	39.1	5.1	25.1	3.5	
Other	8.1	0.6	4.5	0.6	4.5	0.4	12.3	1.1	
Household language(s)									<0.01
English only	60.2	2.9	24.3	5.0	38.7	4.5	88.3	1.0	
English and 1 or more other languages	9.2	0.5	6.2	1.0	13.8	0.8	5.9	0.5	
No English, 1 or more other languages	28.8	2.6	66.8	4.9	45.8	4.3	5.0	0.6	
Parental education									<0.01
Less than HS	18.4	1.7	25.9	1.3	22.7	2.4	12.6	1.7	
HS/GED	26.5	1.0	26.2	2.0	27.9	1.7	25.6	1.4	
Some college	23.7	1.7	15.8	2.1	20.7	1.7	28.5	2.2	
College/graduate/professional degree	26.6	2.2	26.7	1.9	24.0	2.9	29.3	2.4	
Household income (\$)									<0.01
< 25,000	45.1	2.4	58.3	2.4	49.8	2.4	38.3	3.6	
25,000–49,999	24.1	1.2	20.2	1.5	24.7	1.5	25.1	1.4	
50,000–75,000	9.4	0.9	5.2	0.8	8.3	0.8	11.6	1.4	
> 75,000	13.2	1.6	5.2	1.0	9.5	1.5	18.5	2.3	

GED general education development degree, HS high school, SE standard error, IM immigrant children, US/IM US-born children/Immigrant parents, US/US US-born children/US-born parents

of the parents in the population were US-born (88.1 and 47.6%, respectively). Less than one-third (28.6%) of IM children had lived in the US for more than 5 years. Most immigrant parents (88.0%) had lived in the US for more than 5 years. US/US children were most likely to live in a household where English was spoken, have a parent who had at least some college education, and to live in households with higher incomes, while IM children were least likely to demonstrate these characteristics (Table 1). In the overall population, 15.6% of children were exposed to smoking in the home. Nativity was significantly associated with smoking in the home ( $P < 0.01$ ): US/US children (10.6%), US/IM children (19.9%), and IM children (15.9%). Nativity was significantly associated with every demographic and socioeconomic characteristic, except for gender.

### **US/US Children have the Best Healthcare Access and Their Parents are Most Satisfied with Quality of Healthcare**

Parents of US/US children were least likely to encounter language barriers when communicating with providers, and their children were most likely to have insurance, regular interaction with healthcare providers, and access to healthcare (Table 2). Nativity was significantly associated with every measure of healthcare access, except for the usual source for care. US/US children were more than five times as likely to have dental insurance, and more than twice as likely to have private health insurance compared to IM children. The degree of healthcare access was concordant with parent satisfaction with the quality of healthcare. Most (75.6%) parents of US/US children were very satisfied with the quality of healthcare compared to 67.4 and 62.0% for parents of US/IM and IM children, respectively.

### **US/US Children Have the Highest Prevalence of Health Conditions, Yet Most Favorable Parent-Reported Children's Health Status**

US/US children were most likely to report atopic disease, while IM children were least likely to report this diagnosis (Table 3). The prevalence of ever and current asthma, seasonal allergies, and skin allergies was more than twice as high for US/US children than for IM children. Food allergies were the only atopic disease that was not associated with nativity.

US/US children were most likely to have been diagnosed with a developmental disability, while IM children were least likely to report one. The prevalence of ADHD was four times higher for US/US children than for IM children. The prevalence of infectious conditions was similar for each group of children, except in the case of bronchitis. The prevalence of diabetes, elevated blood lead levels, autism,

cancer, and pneumonia was low ( $\leq 0.7\%$ ; data not shown). None of these conditions was significantly associated with nativity except for autism ( $p < 0.01$ ). US/US children had the highest prevalence of autism (0.9%), followed by US/IM children (0.6%) and IM children (0.1%). Cavities were the only condition associated with nativity for which the prevalence was lower for US/US children than for US/IM and IM children.

The prevalence of children's health conditions was discordant with the parent-reported overall health status. The prevalence of most health conditions was highest for US/US children, but their parents were least likely to rate their health as fair/poor (8.4% vs. 13.4 and 14.7% for US/IM and IM children, respectively).

### **Nativity is Associated with Disparities in Socioeconomic Status, Healthcare Access, and Health Conditions**

More than four times as many White IM children lived in a household with an income of  $< \$25,000$  compared with White US/US children to (39.8% versus 9.2%). The ratio for IM children compared to US/US children among minorities was  $\leq 1.5$ : 58.0% versus 48.8% for Blacks, 68.1% versus 46.7% for Asian children, and 63.0% versus 49.9% for Hispanic/Latino children. Every measure of healthcare access (e.g., having a regular doctor or nurse and access to care to nights and weekends) was significantly associated with nativity among White children, but this was not the case for minority children. A significant association between nativity and satisfaction with quality of health care child received in past 12 months (very satisfied for 85.3%, 64.3%, and 56.0% of US/US, US/IM, and 56.0% IM children, respectively;  $p < 0.0001$ ) and a sick child being able to see a doctor within 1 day (always/almost always for 91.3%, 82.9%, and 72.2% for US/US, US/IM, and IM children, respectively;  $p < 0.0001$ ) was unique to White children. The proportion of parents reporting a fair/poor health status was consistently lower for each successive generation among White children (13.0%, 11.2%, and 2.6% for IM, US/IM, and US/US children, respectively;  $p < 0.0001$ ), but not among minority children. Disparities between White children and minority children tended to be most pronounced among US/US children.

### **Associations Between Nativity and Health Conditions Vary Based on Race/Ethnicity**

The results from the adjusted models revealed that nativity was least likely to be associated with a health condition among Black children (Table 4). Nativity was more

**Table 2** Healthcare access for New York City school children as a function of nativity

	All (N=9029)		Nativity category						P value
			IM (N=1017)		US/IM (N=3788)		US/US (N=4103)		
	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE	
Communicates with healthcare provider in their own language									<0.01
Yes	91.9	0.7	82.3	2.0	89.6	1.1	96.7	0.4	
No	6.6	0.6	15.9	1.8	9.5	1.1	2.2	0.3	
Interpreter available									<0.01
Always/usually	15.1	1.0	28.0	1.5	21.5	1.8	6.0	0.4	
Sometimes	9.9	0.7	20.2	1.4	14.3	1.2	3.4	0.4	
Never	10.9	0.9	15.2	1.3	10.6	0.9	10.1	1.4	
Speaks english, didn't need	58.4	1.9	30.0	2.5	47.4	2.8	75.5	1.6	
Insurance									<0.01
Private	33.8	2.6	16.7	1.8	28.0	2.4	43.4	3.8	
Public	61.1	2.5	76.1	1.7	67.7	3.4	51.8	3.7	
None	2.8	0.2	4.9	0.6	2.4	0.3	2.7	0.3	
Dental insurance									<0.01
Yes	83.1	0.9	16.3	1.2	82.3	0.7	86.5	1.2	
No	10.0	0.6	74.1	1.2	9.4	0.7	9.1	1.0	
Don't know	4.7	0.5	7.2	0.8	6.1	0.8	2.8	0.3	
Regular doctor or nurse									<0.01
Yes	76.1	0.9	62.6	1.8	72.1	1.3	83.4	0.9	
No	17.3	0.7	29.8	1.8	19.9	1.0	12.0	0.7	
Usual source for care									0.13
Private doctor/HMO	63.2	3.0	58.0	2.6	61.0	3.3	66.7	3.4	
Community clinic/health center or School nurse/school-based health center	19.4	1.7	21.8	1.9	19.5	1.4	18.8	2.4	
Hospital outpatient department	7.9	0.8	9.3	2.9	9.2	1.0	6.6	0.7	
Other	0.6	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.5	0.1	0.7	0.2	
Hospital emergency room/No usual place	6.3	0.8	7.0	1.5	6.7	1.1	5.6	0.7	
Usual source for care/advice									0.075
Private doctor/HMO	63.2	3.0	58.0	2.6	61.0	3.3	66.7	3.4	
Other	27.9	2.3	31.7	2.2	29.2	2.3	26.1	3.0	
Hospital emergency room/No usual place	6.3	0.8	7.0	1.5	6.7	1.1	5.6	0.7	
If child is sick, can see a doctor within 1 day									<0.01
Always/Almost always	69.9	1.3	60.9	1.0	67.4	1.4	74.6	1.6	
Often	7.0	0.4	8.3	0.9	7.4	0.5	6.3	0.4	
Sometimes	18.1	0.7	23.6	0.9	20.1	0.9	14.9	1.0	
Never	2.7	0.4	3.6	0.7	2.5	0.5	2.6	0.3	
Access to care on weekends/evenings									<0.01
Always/Almost always	46.0	1.6	30.5	1.5	38.8	1.7	56.4	2.2	
Often	8.7	0.4	7.6	0.7	8.5	0.8	9.1	0.3	
Sometimes	27.0	0.7	33.3	1.3	29.5	0.8	22.9	1.3	
Never	14.9	1.0	22.4	2.4	19.1	1.3	9.6	0.9	

HMO Health Maintenance Organization, SE standard error, IM immigrant children, US/IM US-born children/immigrant parents, US/US US-born children/US-born parents

**Table 3** The prevalence of health conditions as a function of nativity among New York City school children

	All (N=9029)		Nativity category				P value		
	%	SE	IM (N=1017)		US/IM (N=3788)			US/US (N=4103)	
			%	SE	%	SE		%	SE
Ever asthma									
Yes	20.8	1.1	11.5	1.1	17.9	0.9	25.4	1.6	<0.01
No	77.0	1.1	85.8	1.1	79.9	1.0	73.0	1.5	
Current asthma									
Yes	12.8	0.8	6.6	0.8	9.8	0.7	16.6	1.0	<0.01
No	85.1	0.8	90.6	0.8	88.0	0.7	81.9	1.0	
Allergies									
Yes	19.5	0.6	13.5	0.6	16.2	0.9	24.1	1.2	<0.01
No	80.5	0.6	86.5	0.6	83.8	0.9	75.9	1.2	
Food allergies									
Yes	5.2	0.3	4.8	0.3	5.2	0.4	5.4	0.4	0.81
No	94.8	0.3	95.2	0.3	94.8	0.4	94.6	0.4	
Seasonal allergies									
Yes	10.2	0.7	5.9	0.7	7.5	0.7	13.9	1.0	<0.01
No	89.8	0.7	94.1	0.7	92.5	0.7	86.1	1.0	
Skin allergies									
Yes	8.9	0.4	5.6	0.9	6.7	0.4	11.7	0.8	<0.01
No	91.1	0.4	94.4	0.9	93.3	0.4	88.3	0.8	
Cavities									
Yes	13.4	0.8	16.7	1.9	13.9	1.2	12.2	0.7	<0.05
No	86.6	0.8	83.3	1.9	86.1	1.2	87.8	0.7	
Learning disability									
Yes	2.4	0.2	2.4	0.2	1.1	0.2	3.5	0.3	<0.01
No	97.6	0.2	97.6	0.2	98.9	0.2	96.5	0.3	
ADHD									
Yes	3.3	0.3	1.1	0.3	2.7	0.2	4.4	0.6	<0.01
No	96.7	0.3	98.9	0.3	97.3	0.2	95.6	0.6	
Developmental delay									
Yes	5.1	0.4	2.8	0.7	4.5	0.5	6.2	0.4	<0.01
No	94.9	0.4	97.2	0.7	95.5	0.5	93.8	0.4	
Strep throat									
Yes	11.7	1.3	13.9	1.3	10.9	1.6	12.1	1.6	0.49

**Table 3** (continued)

	All (N = 9029)												P value
	Nativity category												
	IM (N = 1017)		US/IM (N = 3788)		US/US (N = 4103)		IM (N = 1017)		US/IM (N = 3788)		US/US (N = 4103)		
%	SE	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE	%	SE		
No	88.3	1.3	86.1	1.3	89.1	1.6	87.9	1.6					
<b>Bronchitis</b>													
Yes	3.7	0.2	2.2	0.2	2.7	0.3	4.9	0.5				<0.01	
No	96.3	0.2	97.8	0.2	97.3	0.3	95.1	0.5					
<b>Ear infections</b>													
Yes	2.9	0.2	2.2	0.5	3.1	0.3	2.7	0.2				0.36	
No	97.1	0.17	97.8	0.5	96.9	0.3	97.3	0.2					
<b>Conjunctivitis</b>													
Yes	2.8	0.2	2.3	0.5	2.5	0.3	3.1	0.3				0.30	
No	97.2	0.2	97.7	0.5	97.5	0.3	96.9	0.3					

ADHD attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder, SE standard error, IM immigrant children, US/IM US-born children/immigrant parents, US/US US-born children/US-born parents

likely to be associated with atopic diseases among White and Asian children than among Black and Hispanic/Latino children. Despite the heterogeneity among racial/ethnic groups for most health conditions, we noted some consistent findings for asthma. US/US children of every racial/ethnic group had a higher odds of ever asthma and current asthma compared to US/IM and IM children. Few associations between nativity and non-atopic diseases were significant. For White and Black children, respectively, US/US children had twice the odds of reporting a developmental delay relative to IM children. A unique finding among Hispanic/Latino children was that nativity was significantly associated with cavities and strep throat. US/US children had the lowest prevalence for both conditions.

**The Extent of Racial/Ethnic Disparities in Health Conditions Varies with Children’s Nativity**

The extent of racial/ethnic disparities in health conditions between White and minority children varied widely based on nativity category (Table 5). For example, Black children had more than twice the odds of being diagnosed with developmental delay relative to White children among US/IM children, but were as likely as White children to report developmental delay compared to US/US and IM children, respectively. Despite this heterogeneity, there were some common findings for disparities between racial/ethnic groups. White children tended to have lower odds of ever asthma and current asthma compared to minority children irrespective of the nativity category.

There was no noticeable pattern in health disparities for allergic conditions, except that minority US/IM children were more likely than White US/IM children to have allergies. For every generation, Black children had the lowest odds of reporting cavities and Hispanic/Latino children had the highest odds of having a developmental delay, although not all estimates were significant. White children tended to have higher odds of strep throat than minority children. Black and Asian children had lower odds of strep throat relative to White children, irrespective of the nativity category.

**Discussion**

This study demonstrates that US/US children have the highest socioeconomic status and best healthcare access, while IM children have the lowest socioeconomic status and poorest healthcare access. US/US children reported the highest prevalence of most diseases, while IM children were least likely to report health conditions. An exception to the pattern of higher prevalences of health conditions among US/US children was observed for dental cavities.

**Table 4** Associations between nativity and health conditions for each racial/ethnic group among New York City school children using immigrant children as the reference group

Health condition	Nativity category	Race/ethnicity							
		White		Black		Asian		Hispanic/Latino	
		OR	(95% CI)	OR	(95% CI)	OR	(95% CI)	OR	(95% CI)
Ever asthma	US/US	2.09	(1.29, 3.39)**	1.79	(1.32, 2.43)**	3.87	(1.70, 8.82)**	3.30	(2.09, 5.22)**
	US/IM	1.21	(0.85, 1.73)	1.27	(0.86, 1.86)	2.10	(1.03, 4.26)*	1.76	(1.19, 2.60)**
Current asthma	US/US	6.12	(3.09, 12.12)**	2.12	(1.09, 4.09)*	2.93	(1.02, 8.48)*	2.01	(1.29, 3.14)**
	US/IM	2.38	(1.34, 4.21)**	1.34	(0.57, 3.14)	2.39	(1.08, 5.30)*	1.18	(0.87, 1.61)
Allergies	US/US	1.65	(1.05, 2.61)*	1.43	(0.88, 2.34)	2.01	(1.23, 3.29)**	1.59	(0.81, 3.11)
	US/IM	0.67	(0.47, 0.96)*	0.90	(0.60, 1.35)	1.76	(1.21, 2.56)**	1.45	(0.67, 3.14)
Food allergies	US/US	0.40	(0.28, 0.58)**	4.33	(0.47, 40.20)	2.77	(1.18, 6.51)*	0.95	(0.41, 2.21)
	US/IM	0.31	(0.17, 0.56)**	6.63	(0.58, 75.22)	1.64	(0.77, 3.50)	1.17	(0.43, 3.18)
Seasonal allergies	US/US	2.87	(1.62, 5.08)**	1.90	(0.77, 4.67)	1.60	(0.82, 3.11)	1.72	(0.89, 3.33)
	US/IM	0.89	(0.57, 1.38)	0.85	(0.33, 2.23)	1.50	(0.75, 3.02)	1.55	(0.70, 3.42)
Skin allergies	US/US	1.21	(0.65, 2.27)	0.90	(0.34, 2.40)	12.08	(4.45, 32.82)**	2.87	(1.17, 7.06)**
	US/IM	0.56	(0.33, 0.96)*	0.46	(0.15, 1.42)	7.33	(3.46, 15.53)**	1.74	(0.74, 4.13)
Cavities <sup>a</sup>	US/US	0.93	(0.62, 1.39)	1.64	(0.95, 2.84)	1.04	(0.68, 1.60)	0.44	(0.34, 0.58)**
	US/IM	0.86	(0.49, 1.51)	1.47	(0.84, 2.60)	1.39	(0.79, 2.45)	0.61	(0.41, 0.89)*
Developmental delay <sup>b</sup>	US/US	2.21	(1.13, 4.33)*	2.85	(1.02, 7.98)*			1.45	(0.51, 4.15)
	US/IM	0.70	(0.40, 1.23)	2.09	(0.76, 5.76)	1.84	(0.65, 5.25)	1.31	(0.42, 4.09)
Strep throat	US/US	1.18	(0.94, 1.48)	1.90	(0.52, 6.94)	1.96	(0.86, 4.43)	0.47	(0.29, 0.75)**
	US/IM	0.87	(0.72, 1.05)	0.74	(0.23, 2.42)	1.24	(0.79, 1.95)	0.75	(0.59, 0.94)*

Models adjusted for health insurance, race/ethnicity, nativity x race/ethnicity, income, language spoken with healthcare provider, education, age, regular doctor/nurse. For current and ever asthma smoking in the home was additionally included as an explanatory variable

CI confidence interval, OR odds ratio, IM immigrant children, US/IM US-born children/immigrant parents, US/US US-born children/US-born parents

\*P value < 0.05; \*\*P value < 0.01

<sup>a</sup>For this condition, dental insurance replaced health insurance as an explanatory variable

<sup>b</sup>None of the US/US Asian children had this condition

The differences in socioeconomic status and healthcare access observed between nativity categories are consistent with previous reports [1, 12, 19, 27, 30, 33, 45, 46], as are our findings that US-born children have a higher asthma prevalence [2, 11, 19, 35, 47] and prevalence of developmental disabilities [15] compared to IM children. Also consistent are results from oral health studies that have shown that US-born children are less likely than IM children to have cavities [48] or decayed and filled primary teeth [49].

We found that parents of US/US children were most likely to report a favorable health status for their children despite reporting a higher prevalence of most health conditions compared to IM children. Other studies have found similar results [1, 17, 27, 30, 45]. The discordance between parent-reported health status and the low prevalence of health conditions among immigrant children may be explained by undiagnosed/untreated health conditions in US/IM and IM children due to poorer healthcare access and more frequent diagnoses in US/US children due to better

healthcare access. Additionally, US-born parents are less likely than immigrant parents to report unmet expectations and communication barriers when interacting with healthcare providers [15, 50]. However, better healthcare access does not fully explain higher prevalences since nativity was significantly associated with health conditions despite adjusting for having a regular doctor/nurse and insurance coverage.

Our finding that US-born children report health conditions more frequently than IM children is consistent with the “healthy immigrant effect” [51]. One potential explanation is that people who migrate are healthier than those who are unable to do so (“positive health selection”). The extent of positive health selection may vary based on country of origin [52]. Prevalence differences may also reflect various risk factors to which children may be exposed to or the timing and duration of these exposures [19, 47].

Differences in nativity may explain the persistence of many health disparities among racial/ethnic groups after



**Table 5** Associations between health conditions for minority children relative to white children as a function of nativity among New York City school children

Health condition	Race/ethnicity	Nativity category					
		IM		US/IM		US/US	
		OR	(95% CI)	OR	(95% CI)	OR	(95% CI)
Ever asthma	Black	2.45	(1.42, 4.22)**	2.56	(2.09, 3.14)**	2.10	(1.71, 2.58)**
	Asian	1.01	(0.42, 2.41)	1.74	(1.20, 2.54)**	1.87	(1.22, 2.86)**
Current asthma	Hispanic/Latino	1.92	(1.32, 2.79)**	2.78	(2.20, 3.52)**	3.03	(2.38, 3.85)**
	Black	5.16	(1.97, 13.53)**	2.90	(2.15, 3.91)**	1.78	(1.46, 2.18)**
	Asian	1.82	(0.83, 4.00)	1.83	(1.01, 3.33)*	0.87	(0.49, 1.55)
	Hispanic/latino	6.57	(3.37, 12.81)**	3.27	(2.41, 4.42)**	2.16	(1.69, 2.76)**
Allergies	Black	2.60	(1.91, 3.53)**	1.32	(1.09, 1.59)**	0.85	(0.65, 1.11)
	Asian	0.98	(0.59, 1.64)	1.97	(1.45, 2.68)**	0.92	(0.50, 1.69)
	Hispanic/latino	0.75	(0.39, 1.45)	1.57	(1.11, 2.23)*	0.70	(0.53, 0.93)*
Food allergies	Black	0.73	(0.41, 1.29)	1.23	(0.74, 2.05)	0.61	(0.44, 0.86)**
	Asian	0.06	(0.01, 0.43)**	1.30	(0.80, 2.13)	1.68	(1.05, 2.69)*
Seasonal allergies	Hispanic/latino	0.24	(0.15, 0.39)**	1.40	(0.84, 2.32)	0.88	(0.66, 1.17)
	Black	0.99	(0.36, 2.75)	0.95	(0.74, 1.22)	0.66	(0.51, 0.85)**
	Asian	1.34	(0.49, 3.63)	2.26	(1.59, 3.22)**	0.75	(0.38, 1.46)
	Hispanic/latino	0.82	(0.46, 1.46)	1.42	(0.93, 2.17)	0.49	(0.35, 0.68)**
Skin allergies	Black	1.71	(0.64, 4.61)	1.41	(0.90, 2.22)	1.27	(0.93, 1.75)
	Asian	0.15	(0.06, 0.37)**	1.95	(1.35, 2.81)**	1.49	(0.95, 2.32)
Cavities <sup>a</sup>	Hispanic/latino	0.51	(0.22, 1.16)	1.57	(1.11, 2.22)*	1.20	(0.84, 1.70)
	Black	0.39	(0.20, 0.76)**	0.67	(0.39, 1.18)	0.70	(0.51, 0.95)**
Developmental delay <sup>b</sup>	Asian	0.91	(0.48, 1.72)	1.48	(1.08, 2.02)*	1.02	(0.64, 1.62)
	Hispanic/latino	1.95	(1.40, 2.73)**	1.38	(0.89, 2.15)	0.94	(0.74, 1.20)
Strep throat	Black	0.73	(0.22, 2.39)	2.17	(1.33, 3.56)**	0.94	(0.66, 1.36)
	Asian	0.52	(0.20, 1.36)	1.35	(0.60, 3.02)		
	Hispanic/latino	1.79	(0.51, 6.31)	3.32	(1.92, 5.75)**	1.17	(0.81, 1.70)
	Black	0.10	(0.02, 0.55)**	0.08	(0.03, 0.21)**	0.16	(0.11, 0.25)**
Allergies	Asian	0.19	(0.12, 0.31)**	0.28	(0.20, 0.39)**	0.32	(0.15, 0.67)**
	Hispanic/latino	0.94	(0.59, 1.52)	0.81	(0.57, 1.17)	0.38	(0.27, 0.51)**

Models adjusted for health insurance, race/ethnicity, nativity x race/ethnicity, income, language spoken with healthcare provider, education, age, regular doctor/nurse. For current and ever asthma smoking in the home was additionally included as an adjustment variable

CI confidence interval, OR odds ratio, IM immigrant children, US/IM US-born children/immigrant parents, US/US US-born children/US-born parents

\*P value <0.05; \*\*P value <0.01

<sup>a</sup>For this condition, dental insurance replaced health insurance in all models that included insurance as an explanatory variable

<sup>b</sup>None of the Asian US/US children had this condition

accounting for socioeconomic factors [53, 54] and the heterogeneity in health measures within racial/ethnic groups [4, 31, 55–58]. Our study demonstrates that in addition to ethnicity, nativity is a source of diversity for White populations and is associated with health disparities. This finding is supported by a study that showed that US-born, non-Hispanic White children and adolescents are three times as likely as immigrant, non-Hispanic White children to have asthma [2]. Our results suggest the importance of considering nativity when designing interventions to reduce health disparities. For instance, strategies to improve health measures for Black children may differ in effectiveness among US/US and IM children because barriers to healthcare access for each group differ in type and extent. Additionally, healthcare providers should be aware that immigrant parents may need additional assistance in coordinating care, more detailed information, and translators to better navigate the health care system and obtain appropriate care for their children [15, 50].

Our study has several limitations. The survey assessed parent report of provider-diagnosed conditions and may underestimate the prevalence of health conditions in children with less healthcare access. Parent-reported health conditions may be over-reported or under-reported due to the parent's education level and ethnicity [59], English language proficiency [9, 60] and legal status [7, 32, 61, 62]. Study strengths include the large study population, racial/ethnic diversity of the children, the administration of the survey in five languages, and inclusion of less prevalent health conditions.

## Conclusion

This study demonstrates the importance of accounting for nativity in the assessment of health disparities among children. The need for a more comprehensive approach to health disparities in which socioeconomic status is considered in combination with nativity and other determinants has been advocated in the US [2, 63, 64] and abroad [65]. Expanding our view to include nativity may enhance the effectiveness of policies and programs designed to improve the health status of children.

**Funding** This article was funded by National Heart and Lung Institute Grant Number (R25 HL 108857), National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities Grant Number (T37 MD001452).

## References

- Guendelman S, Schauffler H, Samuels S. Differential access and utilization of health services by immigrant and native-born children in working poor families in California. *J Health Care Poor Underserved*. 2002;13(1):12–23.
- Joseph SP, Borrell LN, Shapiro A. Self-reported Lifetime Asthma and Nativity Status in U.S. Children and Adolescents: Results from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 1999–2004. *J Health Care Poor Underserved* 2010;21(2-Suppl):125–39.
- Subramanian SV, Jun H-J, Kawachi I, et al. Contribution of race/ethnicity and country of origin to variations in lifetime reported asthma: evidence for a nativity advantage. *Am J Public Health*. 2009;99(4):690–7.
- Lara M, Gamboa C, Kahramanian MI, et al. Acculturation and latino health in the United States: a review of the literature and its sociopolitical context. *Annu Rev Public Health* 2005;26:367–97.
- Huh J, Prause JA, Dooley CD. The impact of nativity on chronic diseases, self-rated health and comorbidity status of Asian and Hispanic immigrants. *J Immigr Minor Health*. 2008;10(2):103–18.
- Flores G. The Committee On Pediatric. R. Racial and ethnic disparities in the health and health care of children. *Pediatrics* 2010;125(4):e979–1020.
- Huang ZJ, Yu SM, Ledsky R. Health status and health service access and use among children in U.S. immigrant families. *Am J Public Health*. 2006;96(4):634–40.
- Weathers AC, Novak SP, Sastry N, et al. Parental nativity is an important factor associated with where children usually go for health care. *Matern Child Health J*. 2008;12(4):499–508.
- Lommel LL, Chen JL. The relationship between self-rated health and acculturation in Hispanic and Asian adult immigrants: a Systematic Review. *J Immigr Minor Health*. 2015 [Epub ahead of print].
- Yu SM, Huang ZJ, Kogan MD. State-level health care access and use among children in us immigrant families. *Am J Public Health*. 2008;98(11):1996–2003.
- Hillemeier MM, Landale NS, Oropesa RS. Asthma in US Mexican-origin children in early childhood: Differences in risk and protective factors by parental nativity. *Acad Pediatr*. 2015;15(4):421–9.
- Derose KP, Bahney BW, Lurie N, et al. Immigrants and health care access, quality, and cost. *Med Care Res Rev*. 2009;66(4):355–408.
- Singh GK, Lin SC. Marked ethnic, nativity and socioeconomic disparities in disability and health insurance among US children and adults: the 2008–2010 American community survey. *Biomed Res Int* 2013;2013:627412. doi:10.1155/2013/627412.
- Dominguez K, Penman-Aguilar A, Chang MH, Moonesinghe R, Castellanos T, Rodriguez-Lainz A, Schieber R. Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Vital signs: leading causes of death, prevalence of disease and risk factors, and use of health services among Hispanics in the United States—2009–2013. *MMWR Morb Martal Wkly Rep* 2015;64(17):469–78.
- Lin SC, Yu SM, Harwood RL. Autism spectrum disorders and developmental disabilities in children from immigrant families in the United States. *Pediatrics*. 2012;130(Supplement 2):S191–7.
- Pati S, Danagoulian S. Immigrant children's reliance on public health insurance in the wake of immigration reform. *Am J Public Health*. 2008;98(11):2004–10.
- Camacho-Rivera M, Kawachi I, Bennett GG, Bubramanian SV. Revisiting the hispanic health paradox: the relative contributions of nativity, country of origin, and race/ethnicity to childhood asthma. *J Immigr Minor Health*. 2015;17(3):826–33.
- Singh GK, Yu SM, Kogan MD. Health, chronic conditions, and behavioral risk disparities among U.S. immigrant children and adolescents. *Public Health Rep* 2013; 128(6):463–79.
- Keet CA, Wood RA, Matsui EC. Personal and parental nativity as risk factors for food sensitization. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2012;129(1):169–75.

20. Singh GK, Kogan MD, Yu SM. Disparities in obesity and overweight prevalence among US immigrant children and adolescents by generational status. *J Commun Health*. 2009;34(4):271–81.
21. Martinson ML, McLanahan S, Brooks-Gunn J. Race/Ethnic and Nativity Disparities in Child Overweight in the United States and England. *Ann Am Acad Polit Soc Sci*. 2012;643(1):219–38.
22. Balistreri KS, Van Hook J. Socioeconomic status and body mass index among hispanic children of immigrants and children of natives. *Am J Public Health*. 2009;99(12):2238–46.
23. Rundle A, Richards C, Bader MDM, et al. Individual- and school-level sociodemographic predictors of obesity among New York City public school children. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2012;176(11):986–94.
24. Boyle CA, Boulet S, Schieve LA, et al. Trends in the prevalence of developmental disabilities in US Children, 1997–2008. *Pediatrics*. 2011;127(6):1034–42.
25. Mehta NK, Lee H, Ylitalo KR. Child health in the United States: recent trends in racial/ethnic disparities. *Soc Sci Med*. 2013;95(0):6–15.
26. Autism and Developmental Disabilities Monitoring Network Surveillance Year 2008 Principal Investigators. Prevalence of autism spectrum disorders—Autism and Developmental Disabilities Monitoring Network, 14 sites, United States, 2008. *MMWR Surveill Summ* 2012;61(3):1–19.
27. Burgos AE, Schetzina KE, Dixon LB, et al. Importance of generational status in examining access to and utilization of health care services by Mexican American children. *Pediatrics*. 2005;115(3):E322–30.
28. Durden TE. Nativity, Duration of residence, citizenship, and access to health care for hispanic children. *Int Migrat Rev*. 2007;41(2):537–45.
29. Scott G, Ni H. Access to health care among hispanic/latino children: United States, 1998–2001. *Adv Data*. 2004;344:1–20.
30. Avila RM, Bramlett MD. Language and immigrant status effects on disparities in hispanic children's health status and access to health care. *Matern Child Health J*. 2013;17(3):415–23.
31. Yu SM, Huang ZHJ, Singh GK. Health status and health services utilization among US Chinese, Asian Indian, Filipino, and other Asian/Pacific Islander children. *Pediatrics*. 2004;113(1):101–7.
32. Javier JR, Festa N, Florendo E, Mendoza FS. Children in immigrant families: the foundation for America's future. *Adv Pediatr*. 2015;62(1):105–36.
33. DeCamp LR, Bundy DG. Generational status, health insurance, and public benefit participation among low-income latino children. *Matern Child Hlth J*. 2012;16(3):735–43.
34. Guendelman S, Angulo V, Wier M, et al. Overcoming the odds: access to care for immigrant children in working poor families in California. *Matern Child Hlth J*. 2005;9(4):351–62.
35. Woodin M, Tin AH, Moy S, et al. Lessons for primary prevention of asthma: foreign-born children have less association of ses and pests with asthma diagnosis. *J Immigr Minor Health*. 2011;13(3):462–9.
36. Belue R, Degboe AN, Miranda PY, et al. Do medical homes reduce disparities in receipt of preventive services between children living in immigrant and non-immigrant families? *J Immigr Minor Health*. 2012;14(4):617–25.
37. Blewett LA, Johnson PJ, Mach AL. Immigrant children's access to health care: differences by global region of birth. *J Health Care Poor Underserved*. 2010;21(2 Suppl):13–31.
38. Claudio L, Stingone JA. Improving sampling and response rates in children's health research through participatory methods. *J Sch Health*. 2008;78(8):445–51.
39. Centers for Disease Control (CDC). Vital signs: asthma prevalence, disease characteristics and self-management education: United States, 2001–2009. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2011; 60(17); 547–52.
40. Liu J, Probst JC, Martin AB, et al. Disparities in dental insurance coverage and dental care among US children: the National Survey of Children's Health. *Pediatrics*. 2007;119:S12–21.
41. Bloom B, Cohen RA, Freeman G. Summary health statistics for U.S. children: National Health Interview Survey, 2010 [electronic article]. *Vital Health Statistics*. 2013;244:1–81.
42. Weathers AC, Novak SP, Sastry N, et al. Parental Nativity Affects Children's Health and Access to Care. *J Immigr Minor Health*. 2008;10(2):155–65.
43. Heinrich J. Influence of indoor factors in dwellings on the development of childhood asthma. *Int J Hyg Environ Health*. 2011;214(1):1–25.
44. Kanoh M, Kaneita Y, Hara M, et al. Longitudinal study of parental smoking habits and development of asthma in early childhood. *Prev Med*. 2012;54(1):94–6.
45. Mendoza FS. Health Disparities and Children in Immigrant Families: a Research Agenda. *Pediatrics*. 2009;124(Supplement 3):S187–95.
46. Lloyd PC, Simon AE, Parker JD. Characteristics of children in Medicaid Managed Care and Medicaid fee-for-service, 2003–2005. *Natl Health Stat Rep*. 2015;80:1–15.
47. Eldeirawi K, McConnell R, Furner S, et al. Associations of doctor-diagnosed asthma with immigration status, age at immigration, and length of residence in the United States in a sample of Mexican American School Children in Chicago. *J Asth*. 2009;46(8):796–802.
48. Cote S, Geltman P, Nunn M, et al. Dental caries of refugee children compared with US children. *Pediatrics*. 2004;114(6):E733–40.
49. Pollick HF, Rice AJ, Echenberg D. Dental health of recent immigrant children in the Newcomer schools, San Francisco. *Am J Public Health*. 1987;77(6):731–2.
50. Gonzalez HM, Vega WA, Tarraf W. Health care quality perceptions among foreign-born Latinos and the importance of speaking the same language. *J Am Board Fam Med*. 2010;23(6):745–52.
51. Antecol H, Bedard K. Unhealthy assimilation: why do immigrants converge to American health status levels?. *Demography*. 2006;43(2):337–60.
52. Akresh IR, Frank R. Health selection among new immigrants. *Am J Public Health*. 2008;98(11):2058–64.
53. Johnson PJ, Blewett LA, Davern M. Disparities in public use data availability for race, ethnic, and immigrant groups: national surveys for healthcare disparities research. *Med Care*. 2010;48(12):1122–7.
54. Read JG, Emerson MO. Racial context, black immigration and the US black/white health disparity. *Soc Forces*. 2005;84(1):181–99.
55. Yu SM, Huang ZJ, Singh GK. Health status and health services access and utilization among Chinese, Filipino, Japanese, Korean, South Asian, and Vietnamese Children in California. *Am J Public Health*. 2010;100(5):823–30.
56. Jarlenski M, Baller J, Borrero S, Bennett WL. Trends in disparities in low-income children's health insurance coverage and access to care by family immigration status. *Acad Pediatr* 2015 [Epub ahead of print].
57. Slopen N, Shonkoff JP, Albert MA, Yoshikawa H, Jacobs A, Stoltz R, Williams DR. Racial disparities in child adversity in the US: Interactions with family immigration history and income. *Am J Prev Med* 2015 [Epub ahead of print].
58. Huang K, Carrasquillo O. The role of citizenship, employment, and socioeconomic characteristics in health insurance coverage among asian subgroups in the united states. *Med Care*. 2008;46(10):1093–8. doi:10.1097/MLR.0b013e318185ce0a.

59. Miller JE, Gaboda D, Davis D. Early childhood chronic illness: comparability of maternal reports and medical records. *Vital Health Statistics*. 2001;2(131):1–10.
60. Yu SM, Huang ZJ, Schwalberg RH, et al. Parental english proficiency and children's health services access. *Am J Public Health*. 2006;96(8):1449–55.
61. Carrasquillo O, Carrasquillo AI, Shea S. Health insurance coverage of immigrants living in the United States: differences by citizenship status and country of origin. *Am J Public Health*. 2000;90(6):917–23.
62. Yun K, Fuentes-Afflick E, Curry LA, et al. Parental immigration status is associated with children's health care utilization: findings from the 2003 New Immigrant Survey of US Legal permanent residents. *Matern Child Health J*. 2013;17(10):1913–21.
63. Pachter LM. Child health care disparities: findings from the annual report on children's health care. *Acad Pediatr*. 2010;10(2):87–8.
64. Lauderdale DS, Wen M, Jacobs EA, et al. Immigrant perceptions of discrimination in health care: the California Health Interview Survey 2003. *Med Care*. 2006;44(10):914–20.
65. Ingleby D. Ethnicity, migration and the 'social determinants of health' agenda. *Psychosocial Intervention*. 2012;21(3):331–41.